

BIOMETRIC AUTHENTICATION USING FACE RECOGNITION APPROACH

S.Sharmila

Saveetha School of engineering

ABSTRACT

In recent several years face detection features acquired substantial attention from both research communities and the market, but still remained very tricky inside genuine applications. quite a few face recognition algorithms, In addition to, it is modifications, have been produced throughout your current past decades. a number of typical algorithms are usually presented, being categorized directly into appearance based and model-based schemes. pertaining to appearance-based methods, three linear subspace analysis schemes are presented, along with quite a few non-linear manifold analysis methods with regard to face recognition are briefly described. ones model-based strategies tend to be introduced, just like Elastic Bunch Graph matching, Active Appearance Model In Addition to 3D Morphable Model methods. a good number of face databases available for the recognized domain AS WELL AS numerous composed performance evaluation results are usually digested. Future research directions based towards current ID results are pointed out.

INTRODUCTION

In recent many years face detection provides acquired substantial attention coming from researchers inside biometrics, pattern recognition, ALONG WITH computer vision communities [1][2][3][4]. One machine learning as well as computer graphics communities are increasingly involved with face recognition. this common interest among researchers signing within diverse fields is motivated by our amazing ability to identify people and one fact The item human activity is usually a very first concern both in everyday life and also in cyberspace. Besides, You will discover a large number of commercial, security, and also forensic applications requiring these involving face identification technologies. These kind of applications include automated crowd surveillance, access control, mugshot identity (e.g., regarding issuing driver licenses), face reconstruction, design of human computer interface (HCI), multimedia communication (e.g., generation connected with synthetic faces) and content-based aesthetic database management. quite a few commercial face id systems have been deployed, like Cognitec [5], Eyematic [6], Viisage [7], IN ADDITION TO Identix [8]. Facial scan is actually a good effective biometric attribute/indicator. additional biometric indicators are generally suited for other ones associated with identity applications due for you to it is variations in intrusiveness, accuracy, cost, and ease involving sensing [9] (see Fig. 1(a)). Among your own six to eight biometric indicators used within [10], facial features scored the highest compatibility, displayed within Fig. 1(b), inside a great machine readable

traveldocuments (MRTD) technique According to quite a few evaluation factors [10]. Global 2002 industry revenues associated with \$601million are generally required for you to reach \$4.04billion through 2007 [9], drivenby large-scale recognized sector biometric deployments, your current emergence involving transactional revenue models, and your current adoption of standardized biometric infrastructures as well as facts formats. Among emergingbiometric technologies, facial recognition as well as middleware are usually projected to be able to reach \$200million and\$215million, respectively, within annual revenues in 2005. Face detection scenarios will be classified directly into only two types, (i) face confirmation (or authentication) and (ii) face no . (or recognition). at the Face identification Vendor Test (FRVT) 2002

[11], that will feel conducted because of the National Institute of Standards AND Technology (NIST), another scenario is actually added, called ones 'watch list'.² Face verification ("Am my partner and i that i say my spouse and i am?") is really a one-to-one match This compares a query face visible against the template face visible whose id is being claimed. to confirm the verification performance, the proof rate (the rate on in which legitimate users are generally granted access) vs. false accept rate (the rate in of which imposters are issued access) is actually plotted, called ROC curve. an verification technique Should balance most of these 3 rates Based on operational needs. Face identity ("Who was I?") is usually a one-to-many matching program This compares a query face visual against all of the template images with the face database for you to identify your own username involving the query face (see Fig. 3). your own id of a test graphic is actually accomplished from locating your own image in the database exactly who features your highest similarity with the test image. ones identity process isa "closed" test, in which means your current sensor takes a great observation connected with a person This can be known to be at the database. your own test subject's (normalized) has are usually as compared to your own different features in the system's database and also a great similarity score is found for each comparison. These kind of similarity scores are usually next numerically ranked throughout the descending order. ones percentage connected with times That the highest similarity score is the proper match for all individuals can be referred to be able to Just like ones "top match score." if almost any of the top r similarity scores corresponds to the test subject, That is considered as a great correct match in relation to your own cumulative match. your current percentage regarding times solitary of those are similarity scores would be the correct match regarding almost all men and women is usually referred to be able to As your own "Cumulative Match Score",. your current "Cumulative Match Score" curve would be the rank n versus percentage regarding correct identification, by which rank n will be the range of top similarity scores reported. ² your own check out list ("Are anyone to look for me?") technique is actually the open-universe test. your own test individual may or maybe will certainly not always be at the system database. The item user is actually as compared to your own others in the system's database as well as the similarity score can be reported intended for each comparison. these similarity scores are generally after that numerically ranked consequently that this

highest similarity score is first. whether a great similarity score can be higher compared to a preset threshold, the alarm can be raised. regardless of whether the alarm is raised, your system thinks The item anyone is situated in your current system's database. You can find two main merchandise of interest regarding see number applications. your own very first will be the percentage regarding times your own method raises the alarm and That proficiently identifies an individual for the watchlist. This really is called your "Detection and Identification Rate." your current second product or service associated with interest would be the percentage involving times your technique raises the alarm regarding anyone This can be not to the watchlist (database). That is called your current "False Alarm Rate."In your report, every one of the experiments tend to be conducted for the identification scenario.

Human face visual appearance features potentially very large intra-subject variations due to ² 3D head pose ² Illumination (including indoor / outdoor ² Facial expression² Occlusion due to help additional objects or even accessories (e.g., sunglasses, scarf, etc.)² Facial hair² Aging [12].On the some other hand, the inter-subject variations are generally small for its similarity regarding individual appearances. Fig. 4 Provides examples of appearance variations associated with solitary subject. And also Fig.several illustrates examples of appearance variations associated with additional subjects. Currently, image-based face ID techniques can be mainly categorized in a couple of groups based on the face representation which they use: (i) appearance-based in which functionalities holistic texture features; (ii) model-based that EMPLOY shape and texture of the face, AND 3D depth information. A quantity associated with face detection algorithms, as well as their modifications, continues to be developed during your current past a lot of years (see Fig. 6). with team 2, three leading linear subspace analysis schemes tend to be presented, As well as a lot of non-linear manifold analysis techniques intended for face detection are briefly described. the model-based procedures are introduced with section 3, just like Elastic Bunch Graph matching, Active Appearance Model As well as 3D morphable model methods. Numerous face databases shown for the standard domain And numerous written performance evaluation results are provided inside office 4. Concluding remarks and also future research directions are summarized in section 5. Inter-subject variations versus intra-subject variations. (a) Along with (b) are generally images from different subjects, but it's appearance variations represented on the input space can be smaller than images from the same subject, b, c along with d. These kind of images are accepted by from Yale database B. 2 Appearance-based (View-based) face recognition. Many procedures for you to object detection And to help computer graphics are usually based instantly in images without ones Work with regarding intermediate 3D models. These procedures depend at a good representation of images. The idea induces a good vector space structure and, with principle, requires dense correspondence. Appearance-based procedures represent an object regarding a lot of object views (raw intensity images). An visual is actually obtained like a high-dimensional vector, i.e., a good point within a good high-dimensional vector space. many view-based techniques Utilize statistical procedures in order to analyse ones distribution

of the object visual vectors in the vector space, In addition to derive a great efficient As well as effective representation (feature space) According to some other applications. released an test image, ones similarity between the stored prototypes and the test watch will be next carried out on the feature space. This aesthetic vector representation permits ones work with of learning procedures due to the analysis in addition to for the synthesis of images. Face detection is treated to be a space-searching problem combined with an machine-learning problem. Vector representation regarding images Image data can be represented As vectors, i.e., Just as simple measures with the high dimensional vector space. For example, a $p \times q$ 2D visible is actually mapped in order to a vector x two R^{pq} , from lexicographic ordering of your pixels elements (such Just like via concatenating each row as well as column of any image). Despite the actual high- dimensionalembodiment, the natural constraints of your physical world (and the imaging process) dictate that the data will, within fact, lie in the lower-dimensional (though maybe disjoint) manifold. ones first goal of your own subspace analysis is usually to help identify, represent, In addition to parameterize this manifold within accordance with some optimality criteria. Let $X = (x_1; x_2; \dots; x_i; \dots; x_N)$ represent your $n \times N$ facts matrix, through which each x_i is a face vector of dimension n , concatenated by a $p \times q$ face image, in which $n = p \times q$. Here n represents your own total number of pixels in the face aesthetic As well as N would be the amount involving various other face images for the training set. The mean vector of any training images $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ will be subtracted coming from each graphic vector.

PCA

The Eigenface algorithm benefits your current Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with regard to dimensionality reduction to find the vectors which Least complicated accounts for its distribution associated with face images about the entire imagespace [14]. most of these vectors define ones subspace regarding face images as well as the subspace will be called face space. All faces in the training set tend to be projected on your own face space to look for the set of weights The idea describes the contribution of each vector in the face space. to distinguish a test image, It will take ones projection of ones test graphic on top of your own face space for getting your corresponding set connected with weights. from comparing the weights of an test graphic by the set connected with weights of the faces with the training set, your own face with the test image can be identified. The button procedure with PCA is usually In line with Karhunen-Loeve transformation [18]. whether ones image elements tend to be taken for you to random variables, ones visible might be seen like a sample of your stochastic process. your own Principal Component Analysis basis vectors usually are defined As the eigenvectors involving the scatter matrix ST ,

$$ST = XN$$

$$i=1$$

$$(x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})^T \quad (2)$$

The transformation matrix WPCA is usually wrote of any eigenvectors corresponding on the d largest eigenvalues. The 2D example connected with PCA is usually demonstrated inside Fig. 7. your current eigenvectors (a.k.a. eigenface) . Principal components (PC) of any two-dimensional set regarding points. the 1st principal component provides a optimal linear dimension reduction by 2D to 1D, at the sense of a mean square error. Corresponding towards the 7 most significant eigenvalues, derived through ORL face database [19], tend to be exhibited in Fig. 9. Your current corresponding average face is actually granted in Fig. 8. ORL face samples are generally shipped inside Fig. 26. After using ones projection, your own input vector (face) inside a great n-dimensional space is actually reduced in order to a feature vector with an d-dimensional subspace. Likewise the eigenvectors corresponding to the 7 smallest eigenvalues usually are delivered in Fig. 10. regarding most applications, these eigenvectors corresponding for you to very small eigenvalues tend to be used As noise, As well as not acknowledged in account during identification. Several extensions of PCA tend to be developed, just like modular eigenspaces [20] AND ALSO probabilistic subspaces [21].

ICA

Independent Component Analysis (ICA) [22]

Is just like PCA except which the distribution of the components usually are created to possibly be non-Gaussian. Maximizing non-Gaussianity promotes statistical presents your own some other feature extraction properties between PCA As well as ICA. Bartlett et al. [15] supplied 3 architectures Based on Independent Component Analysis, statistically independent basis images IN ADDITION TO a great factorial signal representation, because of its face identification task. The ICA separates ones high-order seconds of the input plus the second-order moments utilized inside PCA. Both your architectures lead to a similar performance. the considered basis vectors based in rapidly fixed-point algorithm [24] for the ICA factorial value representation tend to be illustrated in there's not any special order imposed on the ICA basis vectors.

LDA

Both PCA Along with ICA construct your own face space without while using the face class (category) information. The entire face training data is actually acknowledged like a whole. inside LDA your current goal can be looking for a good efficient or even interesting way to help represent ones face vector space. But exploiting ones class specifics is usually handy to help the identification tasks, see Fig. 13 regarding an example. The Fisherface algorithm [16] can be derived through the Fisher Linear Discriminant (FLD), that uses class specific information. coming from defining additional classes within other statistics, ones images with the learning set usually are divided in your own corresponding classes. Then, approaches including the person

used in Eigenface algorithm usually are applied. ones Fisherface algorithm results throughout an higher accuracy rate in Top: Example 3D info distribution and the corresponding principal component and independent component axes. Each axis can be a direction found from PCA or maybe ICA. Note the PC axes are orthogonal whilst your own IC axes are generally not. If sole two components are allowed, ICA chooses a great different subspace in comparison with PCA. Bottom left: Distribution of any initial PCA coordinate of any data. Bottom right: distribution of your 1st ICA coordinate of a facts [23]. For this example, ICA tends to extract more intrinsic structure of an original data clusters. ICA basis vectors viewable Just as $p \times p$ images; there is certainly simply no special order for ICA basis vectors (derived through the ORL face database [19], based on the second architecture [23]). your ICA code package to be able to compute these types of ICA .

A comparison connected with principal component analysis (PCA) ALONG WITH Fisher's linear discriminant (FLD) for an 2 class problem in which info pertaining to each class lies near the linear subspace [16]. It shows that FLD is actually greater compared to PCA for the sense involving discriminating your current 2 classes. Recognizing faces in comparison with Eigen face algorithm. The Linear Discriminant Analysis finds a transform WLDA, such that

$$WLDA = \arg \max$$

W

$$W^T S_B W$$

$$W^T S_W W$$

;

where S_B could be the between-class scatter matrix ALONG WITH S_W will be the within-class scatter matrix, defined as

$$S_W = \sum_c X_c$$

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^c \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} (x_k - \mu_i)(x_k - \mu_i)^T - (5)$$

In your above expression, N_i is the number involving training samples in class i , c is the number regarding distinct classes, μ_i will be the mean vector of samples belonging in order to class i my spouse and i AND ALSO X_i represents your current set regarding samples belonging in order to class i . your own LDA basis vectors are demonstrated .

NON-LINEAR (MANIFOLD) ANALYSIS

The face manifold is more complicated than linear models. Linear subspace analysis is an approximation of this non-linear manifold. Direct non-linear manifold modeling schemes are

explored to learn this non-linear manifold. In the following subsection, the kernel principal component analysis (KPCA) is introduced and several other manifold learning algorithms are also listed.

KERNEL PCA

The kernel PCA [25] is to apply a nonlinear mapping from the input space R^M to the feature space R^L , denoted by $\phi(x)$, where L is larger than M . This mapping is made implicit by the use of kernel functions satisfying the Mercer's theorem

$$k(x_i; x_j) = \phi^T(x_i) \phi(x_j) \quad (6)$$

where kernel functions $k(x_i; x_j)$ in the input space correspond to inner-product in the higher dimensional feature space. Because computing covariance is based on inner-products, performing a PCA in the feature space can be formulated with kernels in the input space without the explicit computation of $\phi(x)$. Suppose the covariance in the feature space is calculated as

$\Sigma_K = \langle \phi(x_i) \phi^T(x_i) \rangle$: Contour plots of the very first six principal component projections. Each contour contains

the same projection values onto your current corresponding eigenvectors. information is actually generated via 2 Gaussian clusters. a great RBF kernel is actually used. your own corresponding eigenvalues are given above each subplot. Notice: Small Sample Size. In real applications, current appearance-based face identification systems encounter difficulties due to the small variety connected with viewable training face images Along with complex facial variations during the test. Human face appearances have numerous variations resulting via varying lighting conditions, different head poses Along with facial expressions. in real-world situations, singular the small variety involving samples for each subject are usually exhibited for training. no matter whether a good sufficient range involving enough representative info can be not available, Martinez Along with Kak [30] have available the switch through nondiscriminant techniques (e.g., PCA) for you to discriminant procedures (e.g., LDA) is usually not always warranted AND can sometimes lead to poor method design While small And also nonrepresentative training facts sets are used. Figure 16 gives an example. Therefore, face synthesis, where additional training samples can be generated, is useful in order to enhance the face i. d .systems [31][32]. That ones primary three components have the potential to help extract anybody clusters [25]. Similar to traditional PCA, your projection coefficients are usually considered Equally has for classification. Yang [26] explored the Make Use of involving KPCA for the face detection problem. Unlike traditional PCA, KPCA are able to use extra eigenvector projections compared to your input dimensionality. But a good appropriate kerneland correspondent parameters will probably lone possibly be decided empirically.

MODEL-BASED FACE RECOGNITION

The model-based face identification scheme is aimed from constructing the model of an human face, which will be in a position to capture ones facial variations. your own prior knowledge associated with human face is actually highly utilized to design ones model. with regard to example, feature-based matching derives distance AND relative position features because of the placement connected with internal facial elements (e.g., eyes, etc.). Kanade [33] formulated one of ones earliest face id algorithms In line with automatic feature detection. Through localizing the corners of your eyes, nostrils, etc. with frontal views, his technique computed parameters pertaining to each face, which were compared (using a good Euclidean metric) against your own parameters connected with known faces. a great more recent feature-based system, Based on elastic bunch graph matching, are formulated from Wiskottetal. [34] Being an extension in order to their original graph matching technique [35]. through integrating both shape .

Suppose You will find only two some other classes embedded with two different "Gaussian-like" distributions. However, two sample per class are usually sent on the learning procedure (PCA or even LDA). The classification result of the PCA procedure (using sole your own 1st eigenvector) is usually extra desirable than ones result of your LDA . DPCA and DLDA represent ones decision thresholds obtained by using the nearest-neighbor classification [30]. And texture, Cootes et al. [36][37] designed a 2D morphable face model, during which your current face variations tend to be learned. A good more advanced 3D morphable face model is usually explored to capture ones true 3D structure associated with human face surface. Bothmorphable model actions come under your current framework of 'interpretation through synthesis'. The model-based scheme usually incorporates three steps: 1) Constructing your model; 2) Fitting the model towards issued face image; 3) while using parameters of a fitted model As the feature vector to calculate your own similarity between your query face Along with prototype faces on the database in order to work the recognition Feature-based Elastic Bunch Graph Matching

BUNCH GRAPH

All human faces share a good similar topological structure. Wiskott et al. Present a good general in-class recognition process intended for classifying members of the known class involving objects. Faces are usually represented as 16 graphs, with nodes positioned with fiducial easy steps (such As your own eyes, your concept of a nose, several contour points, etc.; view Fig. 17), In addition to edges labeled inside 2-D distance vectors. Each node incorporates the set of 40 complex Gabor wavelet coefficients, similar to both phase andmagnitude, known as being a jet (shown within Fig. 18). Wavelet coefficients tend to be extracted having a family ofGabor kernels throughout five different spatial frequencies and seven orientations; just about all kernels are normalized to be associated with zero mean. Face ID can be According to labeledgraphs. a great labeled graph is

a set connected with nodes connected by edges; nodes are generally labeled inside jets; edges usually are labeled inside distances. Thus, ones geometry of the object is encoded from the edges even though your current gray value distribution is patch-wise encoded by the nodes (jets).

While solitary faces can be represented coming from quick labeled graphs, a face class requires a more comprehensive representation for you to keep an eye on almost all ones of variations on the class. The Face Bunch Graph possesses a stack-like structure that combines graphs involving individual sample faces, as demonstrated in Fig. 20. This is significant. The idea is that the person graphs all have your own same structure and that the nodes refer for the same fiducial points. many jets referring towards the same fiducial point, e.g., all left-eye jets, are generally bundled together inside a great bunch, coming from in which one will certainly Click any kind of jet being an alternative description. your left-eye bunch will then contain a good male eye, a female eye, both closed or perhaps open, etc. Each fiducial point is actually represented coming from this type of an set regarding alternatives as well as coming from each bunch just about any jet will certainly be selected independently of the jets picked out with the other bunches. the offers full combinatorial power regarding your representation even if This can be constituted sole coming from a number of graphs.

ELASTIC GRAPH MATCHING

To name a brand new face, your own face graph can be positioned towards face visible applying elastic bunch graph matching. your goal associated with Elastic graph matching is to help find the fiducial simple steps from an query visible and thus for you to extract because of the aesthetic the graph in which maximizes your own graph similarity function. the is performed automatically if the face bunch graph (FBG) is usually appropriately initialized. the face bunch graph (FBG) consists of the collection of solitary face model graphs combined in to a stack-like

The left figure shows a great sketch of a face bunch graph [34]. all the nine nodes can be labeled that has a bunch of six jets. through each bunch, solitary Personal jet has been selected, indicated Just like gray. the particular menus depends on the situation, e.g., your current face onto which ones face bunch graph is matched. although made coming from six to eight sample faces only, this bunch graph can potentially represent $69 = 10; 077; 696$ different faces. your correct figure shows the same title interpreted slightly differently from Tullio Pericoli ("Unfinished Portrait" 1985) structure, that each node incorporates ones jets connected with many previous turned on faces from the database. To position your grid with a brand new face, your own graph similarity between the image graph and also the existing . FBG is actually maximized. Graph similarity is actually defined Just like your average of a Least complicated possible match between the new image and also just about any face retained around the FBG minus an topographical term (see Eq. 11), which accounts regarding distortion between the visual grid along with the FBG. Let S_A become the similarity between two

jets, defined as

$$S \hat{A}(J; J_0) = P_j a_{j0} \cos(\hat{A}_j; \hat{A}_0; \tilde{d} \sim k_j) q_{Pa2jPja02j}; \quad (10)$$

where a_j along with \hat{A}_j tend to be magnitude as well as phase of your Gabor coefficients for the j th jet, respectively; \tilde{d} is the displacement between locations of a couple of jets; \tilde{k}_j determines ones wavelength as well as orientation of your own Gabor wavelet kernels [35]. intended for an aesthetic graph GI throughout nodes $n = 1; \dots; N$ as well as edges E . Along with a great FBG B throughout model

graphs $m = 1; \dots; M$, your own graph similarity is actually defined as

$$SB(GI; B) = \frac{1}{N \times n_{\max}} S \hat{A}(J_n; J_{Bmn});$$

$$EX_e(\phi \sim x_{Ie}; \phi \sim x_{Be})^2 / (\phi \sim x_{Be})^2; \quad (11)$$

where ϕ determines ones relative importance regarding jets along with metric structure, J_n will be the jets at nodes n , and $\phi \sim x_e$ is the distance vector consumed. In the same way labels in edges e . right after your own grid have been positioned to the new face, your current face is actually identified coming from comparing your own similarity between That face. In addition to every face kept throughout the FBG. Graphs is actually easily translated, rotated, scaled, AND elastically deformed, thus compensating for ones variance throughout face images which is commonly encountered in a ID process.

AAM - the 2D Morphable Model

An Active Appearance Model (AAM) is actually a great integrated statistical model which combines a model of shape variation having a model of the appearance variations within an shape-normalized frame. An AAM contains the statistical model of an shape and gray-level appearance of your object regarding interest which can generalize in order to any valid example. Matching to help the visual contains obtaining model parameters which minimize ones difference between the visual as well as a synthesized model example, projected onto the image. your current potentially large amount involving parameters makes the a great tough problem.

AAM Construction

The AAM will be manufactured. In line with a great training set involving labeled images, in which landmark simple steps are

marked from each example face on key positions to help outline ones main offers (shown in Fig. 21). Figure 21: ones training image is split in to shape ALONG WITH shape-normalized texture [38]. The shape of the face will be represented via a good vector consisting of the positions of any landmarks,

$s = (x_1; y_1; \dots; x_n; y_n)^T$, through which $(x_j; y_j)$ denotes ones 2D graphic coordinate of any j th landmark point. All shape vectors connected with faces are normalized directly into a good common coordinate system. your own principal component analysis can be applied in order to the particular set involving shape vectors to help construct ones face shape model, denoted as: $s = \bar{s} + P_s b_s$, in which s is often a shape vector, \bar{s} is the mean shape, P_s is usually a set of orthogonal modes of shape variation AND b_s is really a set connected with shape parameters.

In order to construct your own appearance model, your own example image will be warped in order to make the control points match your own mean shape. next your warped image region covered because of the mean shape will be sampled to extract ones grayscale intensity (texture) information. Similar on the shape model construction, a vector is generated Equally your own representation, $g = (I_1; \dots; I_m)^T$, where I_j denotes your own intensity connected with the sampled pixel at the warped image. PCA will be likewise applied to help construct an linear model $g = \bar{g} + P_g b_g$, where \bar{g} may be the mean appearance vector, P_g can be a set associated with orthogonal modes connected with gray-level variation and b_g is a set of gray-level model parameters. Thus, almost all shape AND texture of your example face can be summarized through the vectors b_s IN ADDITION TO b_g .

The combined model could be the concatenated version of b_s IN ADDITION TO b_g , denoted Equally follows:

$$b = 0 @ W_s b_s b_g$$

$$A = 0 @ W_s P^T$$

$$s (s_j \bar{s}) P^T g (g_j \bar{g}) 1 A; (12)$$

where W_s is a diagonal matrix regarding weights regarding each shape parameter, allowing because of its difference in units between your shape and also gray scale models. PCA is applied to b also, $b = Qc$, in which c is actually the vector of parameters for the combined model. The model was created In accordance with 400 face images, each inside 122 landmark points [37]. a good shape model inside 23 parameters, a great shape-normalized texture model throughout 113 parameters AND an combined appearance model in 80 parameters (containing 98% variations of a observation) are usually generated. The model considered about 10,000 pixel values for you to make up the face.

AAM fitting

Given a brand new visible AND created model, your metric meant to measure your match quality between the model as well as visible can be $\phi = \|j \pm I\|^2$, where $\pm I$ may be the vector regarding intensity differences between your current given image along with

the image generated through the model tuned from the model parameters, called residues. The AAM fitting seeks your current optimal set connected with model parameters. It Best describes ones supplied image. Coates[36] observed That displacing each model parameter because of the right signal induces a great particular

pattern in the residuals. with the training phase, your own AAM learned a great linear model. The item captured the relationship between parameter displacements as well as the induced residuals. throughout the model fitting it ways the residuals AND ALSO benefits the particular model to be able to correct the values associated with current parameters, leading to a greater fit. Figure 22 shows examples of a iterative AAM fitting process. Initial 3its 8its 11its Converged Original

Figure 22: Examples of any AAM fitting iterations [38].

Face IDby AAM

For each of the training images, your corresponding model parameter vectors are usually taken. Just like your own feature vectors. your current linear discrimination analysis (LDA) is utilized to help construct the discriminant subspace for face identification recognition. given a great query image, your own AAM fitting will be applied to help extract the corresponding feature vector. your current id can be accomplished coming from finding the Best match between the query feature vector ALONG WITH maintained prototype feature vectors, both that will are generally projected onto the discriminant subspace.

3D Morphable Model

Human face is really a surface lying at the 3D space intrinsically. Therefore, in principle, your current 3D model is much better for representing faces, especially for you to handle facial variations, similar to pose, illumination. Blanz et al. [39][40] proposed a great technique. In line with a 3D morphable face model. It encodes shape

and texture pertaining to model parameters, AND ALSO the algorithm. This recovers these parameters from a The three-dimensional morphable face model derived by a database regarding laser scans is used to encode gallery AND probe images. regarding identification, ones model coefficients of a probe image usually are compared through the coefficients involving just about all gallery images [40].

Model Construction

Generalizing the well-known morphing technique between pairs connected with three-dimensional objects, your current morphableface model is. According to a vector space representation regarding faces [31]. your current database connected with laser scans

consumed inside your study incorporates scans of 100 males AND ALSO 100 females recorded that has a CyberwareTM3030PS scanner. Scans are generally kept within cylindrical coordinates relative in order to a good vertical axis. ones coordinates and texture values of all of the n vertices of any reference face ($n = 75; 972$) are usually concatenated to form shape IN ADDITION TO texture vectors

$$S_0 = (x_1; y_1; z_1; \dots; x_n; y_n; z_n)^T; \quad (13)$$

$$T_0 = (R_1; G_1; B_1; \dots; R_n; G_n; B_n)^T \quad (14)$$

Vectors S_i ALONG WITH T_i of any examples $i = 1 : : m$ for the database are formed throughout a great common coordinate system. Convex combinations of your examples produce novel shape in addition to texture vectors S as well as T . Previous results [39] indicate that this shape ALONG WITH texture can be combined independently:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i S_i;$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i T_i;$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1;$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i = 1;$$

S AND ALSO T may also be represented as:

$$S = \bar{s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i X_i;$$

$$T = \bar{t} + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i T_i;$$

$$\bar{s} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i S_i; \quad \bar{t} = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i T_i;$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1; \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i = 1;$$

$$\bar{s} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i S_i;$$

$$\bar{t} = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i T_i; \quad (16)$$

where \bar{s} may be the mean shape AND ALSO \bar{t} will be the mean texture.

Model Fitting

The image synthesis is usually to help render your new projected positions connected with vertices of any 3D model, along with illumination along with color. through ones system regarding fitting your model for you to a great novel image, not only your shape and texture coefficients α_i in addition to β_i tend to be optimized, but likewise your current right after rendering parameters, which are concatenated directly into a great vector $\frac{1}{2}$: your head orientation angles θ , μ AND ϕ , the head place $(P_x; P_y)$ in ones visible plane, size s , color along with intensity of any light sources L , in addition to color

constant, and gain ALONG WITH offset connected with colors, shown throughout Fig. 24.

The primary goal in analyzing the face will be to help minimize your quantity involving square differences greater than almost all colour channels and most pixels in the input visible as well as the symmetric reconstruction,

$$E = \sum_{x,y} |I_{input}(x,y) - I_{model}(x,y)|^2 \quad (17)$$

The goal of any fitting process is to search for shape AND texture coefficients as well as these types of that rendering R^2 provides a aesthetic Imodel That is Just like similar Just like possible to Iinput [40]. Under the probabilistic framework, the whole cost perform for you to end up being minimized is derived As [40]:

$$E = \sum_{i,j} |I_{input}(x,y) - I_{model}(x,y)|^2 \quad (18)$$

$$S_i = \sum_j |I_{input}(x,y) - I_{model}(x,y)|^2$$

$$T_i = \sum_j |I_{input}(x,y) - I_{model}(x,y)|^2 \quad (18)$$

A modification associated with stochastic gradient descent algorithm will be designed to optimize the cost function. The optimization is actually accomplished globally, resulting with a good set regarding global parameters @global ALONG WITH ^global. The face model can be divided into four regions – eyes, nose, mouth along with the surrounding face segment. The optimization will be furthermore applied separately for each region to get your local parameters for each segment, i.e., @r1; ^r1; : : -- ; @as well as ^r4. your current fitting technique is actually demonstrated in Fig. 25.

Recognition

The similarity between 2 faces can be defined as:

$$S = \sum_{i,j} |I_{input}(x,y) - I_{model}(x,y)|^2$$

$$global; r1; r2; r3; r4 \mu h; @0i k @kM \phi k @0kM + h; @0i k @kM \phi k @0kM \quad (19)$$

where $h; @0i = \sum_j |I_{input}(x,y) - I_{model}(x,y)|^2$; $h^-; ^0i = P$

$$i^- \phi^- @0^2 T; i, k @k^2$$

$M = h; @0i M$. ones query aesthetic will be designated the identity inside the similarity between ones query along with the corresponding prototype can be maximized. Besides your above-mentioned techniques, many interesting techniques has been explored from some other perspectives, such as local feature analysis [41] AND ALSO statistical model based face recognition methods. Examples of any statistical model based scheme are generally 1D Hidden Markov Model (HMM) [42] AND ALSO pseudo-2D

HMM [43]. Examples connected with model fitting [40]. Top row: primary parameters, Middle row: Results of fitting, rendered on to your own input images. Bottom row: Input images. your own fifth column is an example of your poor fit.

DATABASES AND ALSO PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A variety associated with face databases has become collected with regard to additional face recognition tasks. Table 1 lists a food list involving the person displayed in the public domain. your current AR database involves occlusions due to eye glasses AND scarf. ones CMU PIE database is collected within well-constrained pose, illumination and expression. FERET [44] as well as XM2VTS databases are the only two all thorough databases, which works extremely well as being a benchmark for thorough testing or perhaps comparison. the XM2VTS is actually especially designed intended for multi-modal biometrics, similar to audio AND online video media cues. in order to carry on your own facial recognition technology evaluation from the state-of-the-art advances, your current Face identification Vendor Test 26(FRVT) [45] followed your current original FERET, AND ALSO feel conducted for the year 2000 AND ALSO 2002 (namely

FRVT2000 AS WELL AS FRVT2002). the database considered throughout FRVT \m significantly for a longer time between 2000

and 2002, including over 120; 000 face images coming from more than 30; 000 subjects. further facial

appearance variations were also considered throughout FRVT, like indoor/outdoor difference.

Table 1: picked face databases shown on the recognized domain. 1XM2VTS database is not shown free of charge. 2FERET database is usually complicated, intended for details, see

[http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/humanid/feret/feret master.html](http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/humanid/feret/feret%20master.html). *For each subject, The item collects

video+audio+3D model. pertaining to details, check out <http://www.ee.surrey.ac.uk/Research/VSSP/xm2vtsdb/>;

**e: expression; i: illumination; o: occlusion; p: pose; s: scale; t: day interval, images due to the same

subject are usually taken between a short period (e.g., two days), or perhaps a good prolonged period Some examples through the ORL database are usually viewable with Fig. 26.

Based towards the wrote experimental results, This can be very tough to be able to put the many face recognition

algorithms together intended for comparison with the rather fair protocol. there may be zero common benchmark

database at that all the algorithms continues to be tested, even though FERET is really a outstanding attempt

in this direction. Researchers have his or her choices on databases Any time doing your own performance

evaluation pertaining to publications. Also, for the same algorithm, your current recognition accuracy will vary due to

different evaluation protocols (leave-one-out, cross-validation etc.), other normalization schemes

(e.g., facial place cropping styles), different visual resolutions, other parameter settings (e.g.,

dimensionality of an subspace), etc. to be a result, your own reported performance with the section will be a

selection from quite a few greatest publications, showing the results which can be used coming from the subset of

algorithms being applied about the same database.

27

Figure 26: Face samples by the ORL face database.

1) Table a couple of offers ones comparison results between PCA ALONG WITH LDA According to Yale Database. It shows That LDA is usually capable to EMPLOY a good smaller size of your subspace to achieve the higher recognition accuracy.

Table 2: Leave-one-out evaluation connected with PCA AND LDA on the Yale face database [16]. "Close crop" means your current face place is usually cropped to contain sole internal structures just like the eyebrows, eyes, nose and mouth, though "full face" cropping involves your current complete face area.

Approach Dim. of a subspace Error rate (close crop) Error rate (full face)

Eigenface (PCA) 30 24.4 19.4

Fisherface (LDA) 15 7.3 0.6

2) Figure 27 AND ALSO Table a couple of required experimental results provided with [21], in which compared PCA,

ICA, KPCA IN ADDITION TO Probabilistic Subspace methods [21]. your own experimental details consisted of the training set of 706 one FERET faces AND ALSO 1,123 "probe" images containing individual or maybe more views regarding every person with the gallery. just about all images are generally aligned IN ADDITION TO normalized [52]. Face images usually are downsampled to 21-by-12 pixels, thereby reducing your current dimensionality of a input space for you to $21 \times 12 = 252$.

3) Performance associated with Elastic Bunch Graph Matching. your experiments are conducted towards FERET database. Results are usually given with table 4. your current id results regarding the actual method are generally good with identical poses, e.g., frontal views against frontal vi

Recognition accuracy w.r.t. ones dimensionality (d) of the subspace coming from 5-fold crossvalidation.

Data used is actually segment of a FERET database, 1,829 images intended for 706 subjects [21].

Table 3: Comparison connected with subspace algorithms (d = 20) via 5-fold cross-validation [21]., in which d is actually the dimensionality of any subspace. info will be portion regarding FERET database, 1,829 images with regard to 706 subjects.

PCA ICA KPCA Bayes [21]

Accuracy 77% 77% 87% 95%

Computation (floating-point operations) 108 109 109 108

Uniqueness Yes absolutely no Yes Yes

Projections Linear-Linear Nonlinear- Linear against half profiles, the system operates rather poorly.

4) Performance involving AAM-based face recognition. definitely 400 faces connected with 20 men and women were collected, with 200 images consumed for training AS WELL AS 200 pertaining to testing. your AAM will be intended to fit both training and test images, given the first eye positions. your current identification accuracy will be 88% [37]. simply no comparison with various other face detection algorithms is provided.

5) Performance regarding 3D Morphable Model. your current database incorporates 68 subjects, each within a couple of poses

Table 4: i.d .results associated with Elastic Bunch Graph Matching [34]. It shows only two ones regarding accuracies,

- (i) how often your own right model can be identified Just like rank one, AND ALSO
- (ii) how often The item am among ones top

10 (4%). fa: neutral frontal view; fb: frontal view inside expression; hr: half-profile suitable (rotated by around 40-70±); hl: half-profile left; pr: profile appropriate (rotated via around 90±); pl: profile left.

Model gallery Probe images very first rank Top 10

(%) # (%)

250 fa 250 fb 245 (98) 248 (99)

250 hr 181 hl 103 (57) 147 (81)

250 pr 250 pl 210 (84) 236 (94)

249 fa + 1fb 171 hl + 79 hr 44 (18) 111 (44)

171 hl + 79 hr 249 fa + 1 fb 42 (17) 95 (38)

170 hl + 80 hr 217 pl + 33 pr 22 (9) 67 (27)

217 pl + 33 pr 170 hl + 80 hr 31 (12) 80 (32)

and 22 additional illumination directions, for an overall total connected with $68 \times 3 \times 22 = 4; 488$ images. your current training gallery contains a one aesthetic regarding each regarding 68 subjects. almost all images have your own same illumination direction. The remaining images ($4488 ; 68 = 4; 420$ images) are taken Just like your own test (probe) set. identification results are viewable throughout different training pose and also probe pose combinations within table 5. ones performance of this technique across pose is actually much better compared to Elastic Graph Matching method.

Table 5: identification results involving 3D morphable model [40].

testview frontal (%) side (%) profile (%) training frontal mean 94 85 65

viewstd 6.3 20.7 18.2

side mean 89 90 70

std 6.4 9.2 18.9

profile mean 71 71 84

std 9.2 12.2 16.4

6) FRVT2002. FRVT is a good independently administered technology evaluation regarding mature face

30

recognition systems from NIST. throughout 2002, seven commercial items participated throughout FRVT2002. The task designed for FRVT will be very close for the real form scenarios. at March 2003, NIST issued the evaluation survey intended for FRVT2002, that indicates the current state-of-the-art of an face recognition approaches [53].

Figure 28 plots identification performance of an top three commercial face i . d .products, namely Cognitec, Eyematic and alsoIdentix. ones database incorporates 37,437 individuals. Figure 29 demonstrates It 3D morphable model [40] significantly improves the no . performance on non-frontal face ID tasks.

FRVT2002 in addition shows It id performance is actually dependent towards size of an database.

For every doubling of your database size, performance decreases through 2% to be able to 3% points.

Figure 28: id results for the three Easiest face i . d .systems [11].

SUMMARY

Image-based face id is usually still a great very tough topic right after decades of exploration. a numberof typical algorithms tend to be presented, being categorized in to appearance-based AND model-based schemes. Table 6.offers your current pros AND cons regarding these types of 3 kinds involving face id methods.

Sensitivity to be able to variations within pose AND different lighting Ailments is actually still a good difficult problem.

Georghiades et al. [54] extensively explored ones illumination change AND ALSO synthesis regarding facial analysis using appearance-based techniques to be able to achieve a illumination-invariant face i . d .systemews. However, across other poses, e.g., frontal view Evaluation connected with effectiveness of morphable model pertaining to non-frontal face username tasks

[11]. Performance is from a database consisting connected with 87 subjects. Basri AS WELL AS Jacobs [55] proved that the set regarding most reflectance is effective (the mapping by surface normals in order to intensities) designed coming from Lambertian objects under distant, isotropic lighting lies close to a 9D linear subspace. their analysis \m As outlined by using spherical harmonics to represent lighting functions. ones proposed algorithm \m utilized regarding face i . d .across illumination changes. Although quite a

few efforts may be created on pose-invariant face recognition, the performance of current face recognition program are usually still not satisfactory .

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Chellappa, C.L. Wilson, and S. Sirohey, "Human and machine recognition of faces: A survey," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 83, pp. 705–740, 1995.
- [2] H. Wechsler, P. Phillips, V. Bruce, F. Soulie, and T. Huang, *Face Recognition: From Theory to Applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [3] W. Zhao, R. Chellappa, A. Rosenfeld, and P.J. Phillips, "Face recognition: A literature survey," *CVL Technical Report, University of Maryland*, 2000, <<ftp://ftp.cfar.umd.edu/TRs/CVLReports-2000/TR4167-zhao.ps.gz>>.
- [6] *Eyematic Interfaces Inc.*, <<http://www.eyematic.com/>>.
- [7] *Viisage*, Littleton, MA, <<http://www.viisage.com/>>.
- [8] *Identix*, Minnetonka, MN, <<http://www.identix.com/>>.
- [9] *International Biometric Group*, <<http://www.biometricgroup.com/>>.
- [10] R. Hietmeyer, "Biometric identification promises fast and secure processing of airline passengers," *The Int'l Civil Aviation Organization Journal*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 10–11, 2000.
- [11] P.J. Phillips, P. Grother, R.J. Micheals, D.M. Blackburn, E Tabassi, and J.M. Bone, "FRVT 2002: Overview and summary," March 2003, <<http://www.frvt.org/FRVT2002/documents.htm>>.
- [12] A. Lanitis, C. J. Taylor, and T. F. Cootes, "Towards automatic simulation of ageing effects on face images," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 442–455, 2002.
- [13] *Yale University face database*, <<http://cvc.yale.edu/projects/yalefaces/yalefaces.html>>.
- [14] M. Turk and A. Pentland, "Eigenfaces for recognition," *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 71–86, Mar. 1991.
- [15] M.S. Bartlett, H.M. Lades, and T.J. Sejnowski, "Independent component representations for face recognition," in *Proceedings of SPIE*, 1998, vol. 3299, pp. 528–539.
- [16] P. N. Belhumeur, J. P. Hespanha, and D. J. Kriegman, "Eigenfaces vs. Fisherfaces: Recognition using class specific linear projection," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 711–720, Jul. 1997.

- [17] D. L. Swets and J. Weng, "Using discriminant eigenfeatures for image retrieval," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 831–836, 1996.
- [18] M. Kirby and L. Sirovich, "Application of the Karhunen-Loève procedure for the characterization of human faces," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 103–108, Jan. 1990.
- [19] *ORL face database*, <<http://www.uk.research.att.com/facedatabase.html>>.
- [20] A. Pentland, B. Moghaddam, and T. Starner, "View-based and modular eigenspaces for face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Jun. 1994, pp. 84–91.
- [21] B. Moghaddam, "Principal manifolds and probabilistic subspaces for visual recognition," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 780–788, Feb. 2002.
- [22] A. Hyvarinen, "Survey on independent component analysis," *Neural Computing Surveys*, vol. 2, pp. 94–128, 1999.
- [23] M.S. Bartlett, J.R. Movellan, and T.J. Sejnowski, "Face recognition by independent component analysis," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1450–1464, 2002.
- [24] A. Hyvarinen, "Fast and robust fixed-point algorithms for independent component analysis," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 626–634, 1999.
- [25] B. Scholkopf, A. Smola, and K. Muller, "Nonlinear component analysis as a kernel eigenvalue problem," *Neural Computation*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1299–1319, 1998.
- [26] Ming-Hsuan Yang, "Kernel eigenfaces vs. kernel fisherfaces: Face recognition using kernel methods," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, Washington D. C., May 2002, pp. 215–220.
- [27] J.B. Tenenbaum, V. de Silva, and J. C. Langford, "A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction," *Science*, vol. 290, pp. 2319–2323, 2000.
- [28] S. T. Roweis and L. K. Saul, "Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally linear embedding," *Science*, vol. 290, pp. 2323–2326, 2000.
- [29] Ming-Hsuan Yang, "Face recognition using extended isomap," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, Rochester, New York, Sep. 2002, vol. 2, pp. 117–120.

35

[30] A.M. Martinez and A.C. Kak, "PCA versus LDA," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 228–233, Feb. 2001.

[31] T. Vetter and T. Poggio, "Face recognition by elastic bunch graph matching," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 733–742, 1997.

[32] W. Zhao and R. Chellappa, "SFS based view synthesis for robust face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2000, pp. 285–292.

[32] W. Zhao and R. Chellappa, "SFS based view synthesis for robust face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2000, pp. 285–292.

[32] W. Zhao and R. Chellappa, "SFS based view synthesis for robust face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2000, pp. 285–292.

[32] W. Zhao and R. Chellappa, "SFS based view synthesis for robust face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2000, pp. 285–292.

IJAER